S¹¹¹N Mutation in the Helical Domain of Human Gsα Reduces Its GDP/GTP Exchange Rate

Mónica Brito, Leonardo Guzmán, Ximena Romo, Ximena Soto, María Victoria Hinrichs, and Juan Olate*

Departamento de Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Casilla 160-C, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile

Abstract G-protein α subunits consist of two domains: a Ras-like domain also called GTPase domain (GTPaseD), structurally homologous to monomeric G-proteins, and a more divergent domain, unique to heterotrimeric G-proteins, called helical domain (HD). G-protein activation, requires the exchange of bound GDP for GTP, and since the guanine nucleotide is buried in a deep cleft between both domains, it has been postulated that activation may involve a conformational change that will allow the opening of this cleft. Therefore, it has been proposed, that interdomain interactions are playing an important role in regulating the nucleotide exchange rate of the α subunit. While constructing different Gsa quimeras, we identified a Gsa random mutant, which was very inefficient in stimulating adenylyl cyclase activity. The introduced mutation corresponded to the substitution of Ser¹¹¹ for Asn (S¹¹¹N), located in the carboxi terminal end of helix A of the HD, a region neither involved in AC interaction nor in the interdomain interface. In order to characterize this mutant, we expressed it in bacteria, purified it by niquel-agarose chromatography, and studied its nucleotide exchange properties. We demonstrated that the recombinant $S^{111}N$ Gs α was functional since it was able to undergo the characteristic conformational change upon GTP binding, detected by the acquisition of a trypsin-resistant conformation. When the biochemical properties were determined, the mutant protein exhibited a reduced GDP dissociation kinetics and as a consequence a slower GTP_γS binding rate that was responsible for a diminished adenylyl cyclase activation when GTP_YS was used as activator. These data provide new evidence that involves the HD as a regulator of Gs α function, in this case the α A helix, which is not directly involved with the nucleotide binding site nor the interdomain interface. J. Cell. Biochem. 85: 615–620, 2002. © 2002 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Key words: G-protein; Gsa; adenylyl cyclase

Heterotrimeric G-proteins transmit signals from cell surface receptors to effector proteins that modulate a wide variety of cellular processes [Bourne et al., 1990; Hamm, 1998]. The hormone-receptor complex promotes the exchange of GDP by GTP in the inactive G α GDP/ $\beta\gamma$ form, which results in the binding of GTP to the G α subunit and dissociation of G α GTP/ $\beta\gamma$ in the active species G α GTP and G $\beta\gamma$ [Sprang, 1997; Hamm, 1998]. Both proteins can subsequently regulate the activity of different effector systems such as adenylyl cyclase, phospholi-

Received 14 December 2001; Accepted 7 January 2002 DOI 10.1002/jcb.10128

© 2002 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

pase C, and ionic channels [Clapham and Neer, 1993, 1997; Sunahara et al., 1996; Skiba and Hamm, 1998]. The active $G\alpha GTP$ is then turned off by its intrinsic GTPase activity, which is highly regulated by some effectors or by G-protein signaling proteins (RGS), and reassociation with $G\beta\gamma$ returns the G-protein to its inactive heterotrimeric state. High resolution structural analysis of several forms of G alphas [Noel et al., 1993; Coleman et al., 1994; Lambright et al., 1994, 1996] has allowed the identification of two domains: a GTPase domain (GTPaseD), homologous to monomeric G-proteins, which contains the guanine nucleotide binding site as well as the receptor and effector interaction sites, and the helical domain (HD), composed by six α helices that display the highest sequence variations between the different G α subunits. Although the function of the HD is not completely understood, it has been postulated to be involved in several different processes like recognition of the receptor and

Grant sponsor: FONDECYT; Grant number: 1000359.

^{*}Correspondence to: Juan Olate, Departamento de Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Biologicas, Universidad de Concepción, Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile. E-mail: jolate@udec.cl

effector system, stimulation of GTPase activity, regulation of the transition state between the active and inactive form, and regulation of $G\alpha$ oligomerization [Osawa et al., 1990a,b; Dhanasekaran et al., 1991; Markby et al., 1993; Liu and Northup, 1998; Liu et al., 1998; Krieger-Brauer et al., 1999; Skiba et al., 1999]. Because the guanine nucleotide is buried in a deep cleft between both domains, nucleotide exchange has been postulated to involve a conformational change that would allow the opening of this cleft. Interdomain interactions have been, therefore, considered to be important in modulating the rate of nucleotide exchange. Accumulating evidence has shown that interactions between residues of the interdomain interfaces are playing an important role in regulating the activation of the $G\alpha$ subunit. The main regions participating in the constitution of the interfaces of the cleft are the $\alpha D/\alpha E$ loop of the HD and the $\beta 4/\alpha 3$ (or switch III) and $\alpha G/\alpha 4$ loops of the GTPaseD. Interactions between residues of these loops have been described to be crucial in regulating the nucleotide exchange properties of different Gas [Codina and Birnbaumer, 1994; Grishina and Berlot, 1998].

We recently reported that mutations in the switch IV (α B/ α C loop) of Gs α , a region exposed to the outside of the HD and very distant from the interdomain interface, affects the nucleotide exchange rate of Gs α diminishing the GDP dissociation and GTP binding kinetics five-fold [Echeverría et al., 2000]. Here, we describe the effect of a single mutation, the substitution of Ser¹¹¹ for Asn, localized in the C-terminal end of the α A helix, which is on the opposite side of switch IV in the HD (Fig. 1). This mutant also shows a decreased GDP/GTP exchange rate, and as a consequence of that, a reduced ability to stimulate adenylyl cyclase.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutagenesis of Gsa

While performing PCR amplifications of hGs α , a random mutation was introduced into the cDNA that changed G²⁷⁸ for A. This mutation produced the substitution of Ser¹¹¹ for Asn, a residue located in the C-terminal end of the α A helix of the HD. The mutated cDNA was subcloned into the H₆pQE60 vector as an NcoI-HindIII fragment, to express the recombinant protein with a hexa-histidine tag at its amino termini. In this study, we worked with the short



Fig. 1. Crystal structure of Gs α . The Gs α tertiary structure shown in the figure corresponds to the Protein Data Bank entry 1AZT and was modeled by RasMol. The GTPase domain is to the right shown in dark gray and the helical domain to the left in light gray. Ser¹¹¹, the residue mutated in this study, is shown in black and the switch IV in dark gray. Buried inside the cleft formed between both domains is the guanine nucleotide (GDP, in sticks).

form of $Gs\alpha$, but the residues were numbered according to the long form of the gen.

Expression and Purification of Recombinant Gsα Proteins

BL21(DE3) bacteria were co-transformed with the recombinant plasmid $H_{6p}QE60$ containing the wild type or mutated hGs α cDNAs and with vector pRP4 that encodes for the lac repressor. Expression of Gs α was induced with IPTG as described by Lee et al. [1994] and purified using niquel-agarose chromatography according to Echeverría et al. [2000].

Trypsin Protection Assay

The trypsin protection analysis was performed essentially as described by Graziano and Gilman, [1989] and modified by Echeverría et al. [2000]. Essentially, mutant and wild type Gs α proteins (2.5 µg/ml) were incubated at 30°C in a buffer containing 50 mM Na-HEPES, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 100 µM GTP γ S or 10 mM AlF^{4–} for 1 h. Trypsin was then added to a final concentration of 10 µg/ml and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. Laemmli buffer was then added to stop the reaction, and trypsin-resistant products were visualized by SDS–PAGE analysis followed by Coomassie blue staining.

GTP_YS Binding Assay

 $GTP\gamma S$ binding to $Gs\alpha$ proteins was performed as described by Echeverría et al. [2000].

Briefly, the reaction was carried out at 26° C in a mixture containing 50 mM Na-HEPES, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM MgSO₄, 0.1% Lubrol, and 2 μ M [³⁵S]GTP γ S (45,000 cpm/pmol). Aliquots were withdrawn at the indicated time points, stopped by the addition of 2 ml ice-cold buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 25 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, and 0.1 mM GTP), and filtered and washed with 20 ml of the same buffer under vacuum. Radioactivity was quantified by liquid scintillation counting of the dried filters.

GDP Dissociation

GDP dissociation time course from Gsa proteins was performed according to Echeverría et al. [2000]. A typical reaction consisted in the incubation of the purified $Gs\alpha$ protein (50-60 pmol) at 20°C for 60 min in a buffer containing 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% Lubrol, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 2 µM [³H]GDP (10,000 cpm/pmol). Samples were then diluted with unlabeled GDP to bring them to a final concentration of 200 μ M. At the indicated time points, aliquots were mixed with ice-cold buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM MgCl₂) and filtered and washed with the same buffer through BA85 nitrocellulose filters under vacuum. Filters were dried and radioactivity quantified by liquid scintillation counting.

Reconstitution of Adenylyl Cyclase Activity

S49 cyc⁻ reconstitution assays were performed essentially as described by Echeverría et al. [2000]. Normally, 100–200 ng of purified Gs α protein was added to 25 µg of cyc⁻ membranes in a final volume of 50 µl containing 0.10 mM [α -³²P]ATP (1,000–2,000 cpm/pmol) and 10 mM MgCl₂ in the absence or presence of different activators as indicated in the figure. Incubations were performed at 32°C for 20 min and cAMP was isolated and quantified according to Salomon et al. [1974]. S49 cyc⁻ membranes were prepared as described by Ross et al. [1977].

RESULTS

Expression, Purification, and Trypsin Protection Analysis of Wild Type and $S^{111}N$ Gs α

During PCR amplification of $Gs\alpha$, a random mutation was introduced that produced a change of Ser^{111} to Asn in the protein. This

Gsa mutant showed, in preliminary in vitro expression studies, a decreased capacity to stimulate adenylyl cyclase. Since this aminoacid is located in the HD- α A helix, a structure very distant from the nucleotide binding site and also from the cleft interface, we became interested in characterizing it further. To do so, we expressed the wild type and the $S^{111}N$ Gs α in bacteria with an hexa-histidine tag at its N-terminus and purified it through Ni-agarose chromatography as described in Materials and Methods. A highly pure recombinant protein was obtained with yields of 0.5–0.9 mg/L for the wild type protein and of 0.3-0.5 mg/L for the mutant Gsa. In order to determine if the mutant protein was properly folded and able to undergo the activating conformational change, we performed the trypsin-protection analysis. This assay has been widely used to differentiate between the inactive GDP bound conformation, in which case the $Gs\alpha$ is completly digested, and the active GTP bound state, that can be recognized by the appearance of a smaller fragment that has lost a short N-terminal peptide. As shown in Figure 2, both proteins were completly digested when incubated with trypsin (lanes 5 and 9), but preincubation with $\text{GTP}\gamma\text{S}$ or AlF^{4-} protected them from the digestion, indicating that not only the wild type but also the mutant was able to bind $GTP\gamma S$ and to again the transition state conformation (lanes 3, 4, 7, and 8).

Substitution of Ser¹¹¹ to Asn Leads to a Decreased Nucleotide Exchange Kinetics in Gsα

To characterize the functional properties of the $S^{111}N$ Gs α mutant, we first measured its



Fig. 2. Trypsin protection analysis of wild-type and S¹¹¹N Gsα. One microgram of wild-type or mutant Gsα was incubated for 60 min in the abscense or presence of $100 \ \mu\text{M}$ GTP γ S or $10 \ \text{mM}$ AlF⁴⁻ and followed by trypsin digestion. Samples were analyzed by SDS–PAGE and visualized by Coomassie blue staining.

capacity to bind GTP γ S and compared it with the wild type Gs α . As shown in Figure 3, the S¹¹¹N mutant displayed a reduced nucleotide binding kinetics ($\kappa = 0.045 \text{ min}^{-1}$), that was 4–5 times lower than the wild type protein ($\kappa = 0.19 \text{ min}^{-1}$).

Since the GTP binding kinetics is limited by the GDP dissociation rate, we continue determining the Koff constant rates of [³H]GDP for the wild type and mutant Gs α . As expected, the S¹¹¹N mutant showed again a slower GDP dissociation time course that was 5–6 times lower than the wild type subunit (Fig. 4).

Therefore, we conclude that substitution of Ser¹¹¹ produces a long distance conformational change in the interdomain cleft or in the nucleotide binding pocket that reduces the GDP dissociation rate of the protein.

S¹¹¹N Gsα Mutant Shows Impaired Adenylyl Cyclase Activation With GTPγS But Normal Receptor and AIF⁴⁻ Activation

To evaluate whether the $S^{111}N$ mutation was affecting other functional properties of the Ga subunit, we determined its capacity to stimulate adenylyl cyclase by reconstitution experiments using S49 cyc⁻ membranes. Figure 5 shows that when GTP_γS was used as activator, the mutant protein was considerably less efficient than the wild type in activating its effector, which is consistent with the lower basal nucleotide



Koff (min-1) 100 ∎ WT 0.067 ▼MutS¹¹¹N 0.012 [³H]GDP bound 80 ⁶⁰ ⁶⁰ 20 0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 Time (min)

Fig. 4. Dissociation of GDP from purified wild-type and S¹¹¹N Gs α . Fifty picomoles of wild-type and S¹¹¹N Gs α were incubated at 20°C with 2 μ M [³H]GDP (10,000 cpm/pmol) for 60 min. Dissociation of labeled bound GDP was assessed by adding 200 μ M of unlabeled GDP, and at the indicated times aliquots were withdrawn, and [³H]GDP binding was quantitated by filtration and counting.

exchange activity displayed. However, when AlF^{4-} and isoproterenol were used, no significant differences between both proteins were observed. These results indicate that the adenylyl cyclase and receptor interaction sites have not been affected by the S¹¹¹N mutation, which is in accordance with several structural and site directed mutagenesis studies that have shown to be located in the GTPaseD.



Fig. 3. Time course of GTP γ S binding to purified wild-type and S¹¹¹N Gs α . Recombinant wild-type or S¹¹¹N-Gs α proteins were incubated at 26°C with 2 μ M [³⁵S]GTP γ S (20,000 cpm/pmol) for varying times, and the amount of bound GTP γ S was determined. The apparent on rates for GTP γ S (κ_{app}) are shown.

Fig. 5. Adenylyl cyclase stimulation by recombinant wild-type and S¹¹¹N Gsα. S49 cyc⁻ membranes were reconstituted with 200 ng of either wild-type or S¹¹¹N Gsα. Adenylyl cyclase activity was measured at 32°C for 20 min with either GTPγS, AlF⁴⁻ or isoproterenol and GTP. Before reconstitution, functional Gsα proteins were quantitated by [³⁵S]GTPγS binding, and equal amount of functional protein was added to each assay.

DISCUSSION

In this report, we show the characterization of a Gsa mutant that has a single point mutation in residue Ser¹¹¹, located in the carboxi terminal portion of helix A of the HD. Since this region has not been implicated in any of the $Gs\alpha$ functions described so far, like receptor or effector interaction or nucleotide binding, we were very surprised to discover that this mutant displayed impaired adenylyl cyclase stimulation activity. Biochemical characterization of the mutant protein revealed that the defect was due to a slower GDP dissociation rate (Fig. 4), which was responsible for a slower basal nucleotide exchange activity. Accordingly, we only observed impaired adenvlyl cyclase activation, when $GTP\gamma S$ was used as activator (that requires basal GDP dissociation) and not when AlF⁴⁻ or isoproterenol were added (Fig. 5). Interestingly, the same effect was exhibited by another $Gs\alpha$ mutant, in which five residues of the switch IV that correspond to the $\alpha B/\alpha C$ loop of the HD, were changed [Echeverría et al., 2000].

Another example that shows a decreased GDP dissociation effect due to a HD mutation, was described by Lan et al. [1998], who showed that a G α o mutant, in which Trp132 was replaced by Phe, caused a two-fold reduction in its nucleotide exchange rate. It is important to point out that Trp132 is located in helix C of HD, just ten residues downstream of the switch IV.

Analysis of Gsa crystal structure reveals two direct contacts between HD and GTPaseD that are established through two salt bridges formed between residues located in the $\alpha D/\alpha E$ loop of the HD (Gln170 and Asp173) and residues of the switch III (Arg258) and the $\beta 5/\alpha G \log (Lys293)$ of the GTPaseD. Mutation of any of these residues, that cause the disruption of one of the ioncounterion interactions, has been shown to provoke the opposite effect as the one described before, which is to produce a mutant protein with an accelerated GDP dissociation rate and nucleotide exchange activity. Therefore, the HD has been postulated to act as a "lid" over the cleft that contains the guanine nucleotide that is kept tightly associated to the GTPaseD through several interdomain interactions, and disturbance of any of these interactions would produce the opening of the cleft and facilitate its GDP release [Remmers et al., 1999].

The reduced GDP dissociation rate displayed by the Ser^{111} , Trp132, and switch IV Gs α

mutations is more difficult to explain, since these residues are located in different positions of the HD and distant from the GTPaseD interface. Thus, we believe that small conformational changes introduced in the HD by this mutations, are altering the proper positioning of the two domains with respect to each other, closing the interdomain cleft and reducing the basal nucleotide exchange rate of the protein.

Ser¹¹¹, the residue that was substituted by Asn in our mutant, is included in the epitope recognized by the K-20 antibody (residues 100– 119), which was proposed to constitute a novel Gs α -receptor contact site by Krieger-Brauer et al. [1999], however our data show that receptor-mediated stimulation was unaffected in the mutant protein (Fig. 5). This result does not rule out this region as a receptor-interaction-site, because, we do not know if the Ser to Asn substitution will affect the putative receptor interaction site.

In summary, we can conclude that substantial evidence is accumulating that involves the HD in controlling the basal nucleotide exchange activity of $G\alpha$ and that small structural perturbances can produce important changes in the functional properties of this protein.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Professor A.G. Gilman (University of Texas Health Science Center, Texas, TX) for providing plasmids H_6 -pQE60 and pREP4. This work was supported by CONICYT Grant 1000359 (J.O.).

REFERENCES

- Bourne H, Sanders D, McCormick F. 1990. The GTPase superfamily: a conserved switch for diverse cell functions. Nature (London) 348:125-132.
- Clapham D, Neer E. 1993. New roles for G-protein $\beta\gamma$ -dimers in transmembrane signaling. Nature (London) 365:403–406.
- Clapham D, Neer E. 1997. G-protein $\beta\gamma$ subunits. Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 37:167–203.
- Codina J, Birnbaumer L. 1994. Requirement for intramolecular domain interaction in activation of G-protein α subunit by aluminum fluoride and GDP but not by GTP γ S. J Biol Chem 269:29339–29342.
- Coleman DE, Berghuis AM, Lee E, Linder ME, Gilman AG, Sprang SR. 1994. Structures of active conformation Giα1 and the mechanism of GTP hydrolysis. Science 265: 1405–1412.
- Dhanasekaran N, Osawa S, Johnson G. 1991. The NH₂terminal α subunit attenuator domain confers regulation of G-protein activation by $\beta\gamma$ complexes. J Cell Biochem 47:352–358.

- Echeverría V, Hinrichs MV, Torrejón M, Ropero S, Martinez J, Toro MJ, Olate J. 2000. Mutagenesis in the switch IV of the helical domain of the human Gs α reduces its GDP/GTP exchange rate. J Cell Biochem 76:368–375.
- Graziano MP, Gilman AG. 1989. Synthesis in *Escherichia coli* of GTPase-deficient mutants of Gs alpha. J Biol Chem 264:15475-15482.
- Grishina G, Berlot CH. 1998. Mutations at the domain interface of $Gs\alpha$ impair receptor-mediated activation by altering receptor and guanine nuclaotide binding. J Biol Chem 273:15053–15060.
- Hamm HE. 1998. The many faces of G-protein signaling. J Biol Chem 273:669–672.
- Krieger-Brauer HI, Medda PK, Hebling U, Kather H. 1999. An antibody directed against residues 100-199 within the α -helical domain of G α s defines a novel contact site for β -adrenergic receptors. J Biol Chem 274:28308–28313.
- Lambright DG, Noel JP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB. 1994. Structural determinants for activation of the α-subunit of a heterotrimeric G-protein. Nature (London) 369:621–628.
- Lambright DG, Sondek J, Bohm A, Skiba NP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB. 1996. The 2.0 Å crystal structure of a heterotrimeric G-protein. Nature (London) 379:311–319.
- Lan KL, Remmers A, Neubig RR. 1998. Roles of G(o)alpha tryptophans in GTP hydrolysis, GDP release, and fluorescence signals. Biochemistry 37:837–843.
- Lee E, Linder ME, Gilman AG. 1994. Expression of Gprotein α subunits in *Escherichia coli*. Methods Enzymol 237:146–164.
- Liu W, Northup J. 1998. The helical domain of a G-protein α subunit is a regulator of its effector. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:12878–12883.
- Liu W, Clark WA, Sharma P, Northup JK. 1998. Mechanism of allosteric regulation of the rod cGMP phosphodiesterase activity by the helical domain of transducin α subunit. J Biol Chem 273:34284–34292.

- Markby D, Onrust R, Bourne H. 1993. Separate GTP binding domain and GTPase activating domains of a Ga subunit. Nature 262:1895–1901.
- Noel JP, Hamm HE, Sigler PB. 1993. The 2.2 Å crystal structure of transducin-a complexed with GTPγS. Nature (London) 366:654–662.
- Osawa S, Dhanasekaran N, Woon C, Johnson G. 1990a. Gai-Gas chimeras define the function of a chains domains in control of G-protein activation and $\beta\gamma$ subunits complex interactions. Cell 63:697–706.
- Osawa S, Heasley L, Dhanasekaran N, Gupta S, Woon C, Berlot C, Johnson G. 1990b. Mutation of the Gs-protein a subunit NH₂ terminus relieves an attenuator function, resulting in constitutive adenylyl cyclase stimulation. Mol Cell Biol 10:2931–2940.
- Remmers A, Engel C, Liu M, Neubig R. 1999. Interdomain interactions regulate GDP release from heterotrimeric Gproteins. Biochemistry 38:13795–13800.
- Ross E, Maguire ME, Sturgill TW, Biltonen RL, Gilman AG. 1977. Relationship between the beta-adrenergic receptor and adenylate cyclase. J Biol Chem 252:5761– 5775.
- Salomon Y, Londos C, Rodbell M. 1974. A highly sensitive adenylate cyclase assay. Anal Biochem 58:541– 548.
- Skiba NP, Hamm HE. 1998. How Gas activates adenylyl cyclase. Nature Struct Biol 5:88–92.
- Skiba NP, Yang C-S, Huang T, Bae H, Hamm H. 1999. The α -helical domain of G α t determines specific interaction with the regulator of G-protein signaling 9. J Biol Chem 274:8770–8778.
- Sprang S. 1997. G-protein mechanisms: insights from structural analysis. Ann Rev Biochem 66:639–678.
- Sunahara RK, Dessauer C, Gilman AG. 1996. Complexity and diversity of mammalian adenylyl cyclases. Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 36:461–480.